

CONSUMER ADVOCATE DIVISION STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

> 700 Union Building 723 Kanawha Boulevard, East Charleston, West Virginia 25301 (304) 558-0526

> > June 11, 2004

JUN 1 8 2004
FCC - MAILROOM

RECEIVED
JUN 2 3 2004

Distribution Center

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

RE: I/M/O Petition of WorldCom, Inc. for Declaratory Ruling that West Virginia's Definition of Customer of Record is Inconsistent with the FCC's Rules, CC Docket No. 94-129

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.51, enclosed for filing in this matter is an original and four copies of the West Virginia Consumer Advocate Division's ("WVCAD") Comments in Response to WorldCom, Inc.'s Petition. WVCAD has also filed its motion electronically via the Commission's ECFS.

Very truly yours,

PATRICK W. PEARLMAN WV State Bar No. 5755

PWP/s Enclosures

cc:

All counsel of record

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JUN 1 8 2004

FCC - MAILROOM

) FUU - MAI
In the Matter of)	
)	
Petition of WorldCom, Inc.)	File No. CGB-94-129
)	
Petition for Declaratory Ruling that West	Ć	
Virginia's Definition of Customer of Record)	
is inconsistent with the FCC's rules	Ś	

WEST VIRGINIA CONSUMER ADVOCATE DIVISION'S COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO WORLDCOM, INC.'S PETITION

Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.415 and 1.419, and the Commission's April 7, 2004, Public Notice,¹ the Consumer Advocate Division of the Public Service Commission of West Virginia ("WVWVCAD") hereby files comments in response to the petition for declaratory ruling filed with the Commission by WorldCom, Inc. ("MCI") on March 12, 2004.

Rather than address the merits of MCI's petition to the Commission, WVCAD instead joins in support of the motion to hold proceeding in abeyance, filed with the Commission by the Public Service Commission of West Virginia ("WVPSC") on June 10, 2004. WVCAD concurs with the WVPSC's arguments in support of its motion and urges the Commission to grant the relief requested by the WVPSC. Further, WVCAD is aware that MCI has likewise expressed its desire to have the Commission hold its petition in abeyance pending the outcome of proceedings involving the same or virtually identical issues now pending before the WVPSC.

¹ See I/M/O Petition of WorldCom, Inc. for Declaratory Ruling that West Virginia's Definition of Customer of Record is Inconsistent with the FCC's Rules, Public Notice, DA 04-962 (rel. April 7, 2004).

For all the foregoing reasons, the Commission should enter an order holding this proceeding in abeyance.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick W. Pearlman
Deputy Consumer Advocate
The Public Service Commission
of West Virginia
Consumer Advocate Division
723 Kanawha Boulevard, East
Charleston, WV 25301

304.558.0526

WV State Bar No. 5755

Dated:

June 11, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 11th day of June, 2004, I caused true and correct copies of the foregoing "West Virginia Consumer Advocate Division's Comments in Response to WorldCom, Inc.'s Petition" to be served on all parties listed below by electronic filing.

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Kecia Boney Lewis Karen Reidy 1133 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Richard E. Hitt Public Service Commission of West Virginia P.O. Box 812 Charleston, WV 25323

> PATRICK W. PEARLMAN Consumer Advocate Division Public Service Commission of West Virginia 723 Kanawha Blvd., East Charleston, WV 25301 304.558.0526 WV State Bar No. 5755